

Hürriyet Daily News - 18.08.2017

In recent times, Turkey seems to have become the centerpiece of attention for the members of the European Union (EU). Furthermore, Turkey seems to have collected upon itself the attention of the various domestic actors within the EU. The attention that Turkey seems to be generating is a negative one. There are a number of reasons for this negative attention. One of these reasons seems to be that the attacks do not cost anything to the actors. In fact, the attackers seem to be benefiting from these politically-motivated attacks against Turkey.

These attacks are mainly focused on two issues. The first issue is regarding the Balkans. Many actors in the EU argue that Turkey and Russia are meddling in the so TTTTTT "Western Balkans" region, a sub-division of the Balkans invented by EU member countries to marginalize Turkey from the rest of the Balkans and thus Europe. This invention creates a convenient excuse not to accept Turkeys EU bid. According to this logic, Turkey and Russia are using their proxies, Albania and Serbia, to redraw the boundaries in the Balkans.

In their sense, Russia allegedly encourages Serbia for the annexation of territory from Bosnia-Herzegovina. The argument further elaborates that Turkey supports Albania so that it annexes Kosovo and territory from Macedonia to create a Greater Albania.

Certain analysts in prestigious newspapers have argued that the Balkan leaders have shown to the EU that if the EU is not willing to speed the EU accession process, they are willing to look to the East. More specifically, these Balkan countries are apparently willing to contribute to Russias and Turkeys nationalistic agendas.

There are two important points that such articles omit. The first point they omit is the evidence supporting Turkeys role in creating a crisis in the Balkans region. None of the articles that put forth Turkeys role in Balkans as a crisis instigator provide any evidence to back up their claims regarding Turkey. Interestingly, they do put forth evidence for Russia, but not for Turkey.

Secondly, how can Turkey and Russia work at the same time to instigate a crisis in Balkans when these two countries goals are inherently and historically opposed to each other? For above-mentioned analysts, Russia is trying to create a larger Serbia while Turkey seems to be trying to create a larger Albania. None of the articles provide any explanation as to how these mutually exclusive goals exist at the same time.

The second issue is that the EU actors seem to be attempting to describe Turkey as a NATO in Name Only (NINO) country. The usage of this concept has begun to be slowly but steadily put into circulation against Turkey. One must ask what proof there is behind the usage of NINO against Turkey? Turkey is one of the few NATO members who almost meet NATOs two percent defense spending criteria. EU members such as Germany, the biggest economy of the EU and one of biggest in the world, trails noticeably behind Turkey. If Germany is not being referred to as NINO, at a juncture where it defies sanctions against Russia, as well as voicing opposition to US designs, then why is Turkey being referred to as such? Furthermore, the Turkish armed forces have significantly more real-world combat experience than the German one. So, again, why is Turkey being branded as NINO? We could multiply such examples for Germany or other NATO members.

Apparently one of the reasons why Turkey seems to be accused as being NINO is because the Anadolu News Agency had disclosed some information about the whereabouts of the allied soldiers in the Middle East. Yet nobody seems to be concerned as to the lack of support for Turkey in the Middle East from its NATO allies. This inaction interestingly does not turn them into NINO. Once again, why?

In the last months, especially last six months, Turkey seems to have become a heavy bag for many EU actors. The act of defaming Turkey is easy because these actors, whether political or non-political, seem to pay little price for attacking Turkey. In fact, they seem to be gaining political dividends, particularly from the far right and racist extremists. Yet none of these actors, the elite and the thinkers of their societies, are thinking ahead. What will happen when the up coming elections are over? What happens when the politicians who occupy the seats today are gone? The words uttered, the seeds that have been sown will still grow.

Link to the where the article was originally published: http://www.hurriyetdailynews.com/the-heavy-bag-for-the-european-union-turkey.aspx?pageID=449&nID=116878&NewsCatID=396

	About the author:	
ı		

Teoman Ertuğrul Tulun is an analyst at Ankara-based think-tank Center for Eurasian Studies. Dr. Teoman Ertuğrul Tulun received his Ph.D. in Political Science and Public Administration from İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University in Ankara. His area of research include European Union Studies, Globalization, Xenophobia, Hate Speech Studies and International Relations.

To cite this article: Teoman Ertuğrul TULUN. 2025. "THE HEAVY BAG FOR THE EUROPEAN UNION: TURKEY - HÜRRİYET DAILY NEWS - 18.08.2017." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Blog No.2017 / 40. August 21. Accessed December 08, 2025. https://www.avimbulten.org/Blog/THE-HEAVY-BAG-FOR-THE-EUROPEAN-UNION-TURKEY-HURRIYET-DAILY-NEWS-18-08-2017



Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 Çankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE

Tel: +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • Fax: +90 (312) 438 50 26

@avimorgtr

fttps://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi

E-Posta: info@avim.org.tr

http://avim.org.tr

© 2009-2025 Avrasya İncelemeleri Merkezi (AVİM) Tüm Hakları Saklıdır