

## **NO PERMISSION TO SO-CALLED GENOCIDE MONUMENT ATTEMPTS IN SWEDEN**

**Hazel ÇAĞAN ELBİR**

**Analyst**

In our bulletins we shared news about the developments on the erection of so-called Armenian genocide monuments in Sweden. We informed our readers that the Swedish Supreme Court (*Högsta Förvaltningsdomstolen*) had not approved the erection of a so-called genocide monument in Norrköping. The Mayor and the majority of the members of the Norrköping Municipal Assembly, who are social democrats had decided on April 20, 2015 to build such a monument. However, the Turkish citizen Kenan Gündoğdu, who lives in Sweden, objected to the fact that such a decision was contrary to the Municipalities Law and that the monument was related to a minority and that the place where the monument was planned to be built was a public area. The Supreme Court upheld this objection. It is known that the Supreme Court decisions cannot be appealed. Hence, it is now acknowledged that no municipality in Sweden is not allowed to build a monument regarding the so-called Armenian genocide.[1]

This decision is an important development in our time. It has a particular importance just because it has happened in a country such as Sweden. Because by the decision of the Swedish Parliament Sweden became the 20th country to recognize the so-called Armenian genocide, on March 11, 2010. The Supreme Court decision is objected by the Swedish government. The decision of the Swedish Parliament is not binding. However, the so-called genocide resolution passed by the Swedish Parliament is more comprehensive and rigid in content compared to other countries parliamentary decisions. It claims that not only the Armenians but the Assyrians, Syrians, Chaldeans and Pontic Greeks were also subjects of genocide in the Ottoman Empire. Moreover, the resolution demands in another article that the Swedish government accept the genocide against Armenians, Assyrians, Syrians, Chaldeans and Pontic Greeks as well. There is no example of such a resolution adopted by the parliament of any other country in the world. The Swedish Parliament has also put pressure on the Swedish government for the recognition of the genocide allegations by the United Nations (UN) and the European Union (EU).[2]

The decision, taken by the Swedish Parliament in the past, gives the impression that although passed it has not secured any consensus in the country. This is not the only the example of the discord between the Swedish Parliament and the Swedish government. In fact, the so-called Syriac genocide monument was not allowed in 2008, in Sweden. The

decision of Sodertälje Municipality's 13 members to erect a monument called "Seyfo" in 2007 was taken to the court by Sten Johan Lundin, Deputy of the Retirees Party and rejected. Lundin stated that genocide was a controversial issue which concerns international community and was not recognized by Turkey. He also stated that the planned monument would provide no benefits to the relations between the two countries. Moreover, not only Swedish politicians, but also some Syrians in Sweden are of the opinion that controversial issues should be examined by the historians.[3]

Today's dispute is a similar one. However, today, though the initiative of Kenan Gündoğdu and with the binding decision of Supreme Court it is concluded that no Swedish municipality has the authority to build a so-called genocide monument[4] There are some who advocate the view that even if the decision of the Supreme Court of Sweden is binding, there could be some municipalities who would go against this decision. In fact, Mayor of Norrköping stated that he would like to erect a so-called genocide monument even if not in the same place, and made it clear that he would fight for "Seyfo" monument which had not been allowed to be built by the court. In 2017 the Supreme Court decision was also appealed.[5] It is also known that a documentary film called "Seyfo", financed by the same municipality was made in 2015.[6]

It will be remembered that, the European Court of Human Rights made its final decision on Perinçek v Switzerland Case on January 28, 2013, and concluded that Doğu Perinçek was right in the so-called genocide case. In the same vein, the decision of the Supreme Court of Sweden preventing the erection of the so-called genocide monument proves that the decisions of the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) in the Perinçek v Switzerland case are regarded as Europe-wide binding decisions.

[1] Perinçek İsveçte Soykırım Anıtını Durdurdu, *avim.org.tr*, February 27, 2018, <http://avim.org.tr/tr/Bulten/PERINCEK-ISVEC-TE-SOYKIRIM-ANITI-NI-DURDURDU>.

[2] İsveç Parlamentosunun Kararı, *avim.org.tr*, March 11, 2010, <http://avim.org.tr/tr/Yorum/ISVEC-PARLAMENTOSUNUN-KARARI>.

[3] İsveçte Sözde Süryani Soykırım Anıtına Yine İzin Çıkmadı, *haberler.com*, 29 Nisan 2008, <https://www.haberler.com/isvec-te-sozde-suryani-soykirimi-aniti-na-yine-haberi/>.

[4] Abdullah Gürgün, İsveç Yüksek İdari Mahkemesi: Belediye Soykırım Heykeli Dikemez.

[5] Beslut om Seyfomonument upphävt efter överklagan, *hujada.com*, 17 Mayıs 2017, <http://hujada.com/article.php?ar=3003>.

[6] Filmsuccé i Norrköping, *hujada.com*, 10 Ağustos 2015, <http://www.hujada.com/article.php?ar=2670>

About the Author :

Hazel aęan-Elbir is an analyst in AVİM. aęan-Elbir continues her studies in Political Economy doctorate program at Atılım University.

To cite this article: ELBİR, Hazel AĞAN. 2026. "NO PERMISSION TO SO-CALLED GENOCIDE MONUMENT ATTEMPTS IN SWEDEN." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Commentary No.2018 / 16. March 08. Accessed June 25, 2026. <https://www.avimbulten.org/en/Yorum/NO-PERMISSION-TO-SO-CALLED-GENOCIDE-MONUMENT-ATTEMPTS-IN-SWEDEN>



Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 ankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE

**Tel:** +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • **Fax:** +90 (312) 438 50 26

 @avimorgtr

 <https://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi>

**E-Mail:** [info@avim.org.tr](mailto:info@avim.org.tr)

<http://avim.org.tr>

---

© 2009-2025 Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) All Rights Reserved