

## **THE FUTURE OF EUROPEAN DEFENCE: SEEKING A NEW PATH IN THE SHADOW OF TRUMP POLICIES**

**Hazel ÇAĞAN ELBİR**

**Analyst**

*This is the English translation of a [Turkish language article](#) that originally published by AVİM on 21 March 2025.*

As is well known, on March 12, 2025, the European Parliament (EP) adopted a report concerning the future of European defence. The 89-article report<sup>[1]</sup> has drawn attention due to the shifting policies pursued by United States President Donald Trump following his election, particularly in various domains and notably regarding the war in Ukraine. In this context, the divergent perspectives observed concerning the unity and cohesion of the Western alliance, as well as the parallel stances adopted with Russia on international issues (such as similar positions in the voting on Ukraine at the United Nations General Assembly and Security Council), have begun to suggest that the European wing of the Western alliance is approaching a crossroads with the US on defence matters. In this regard, the heated discussion<sup>[2]</sup> that took place on February 28, 2025, in the Oval Office in Washington, involving Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy, US President Trump, and Vice President JD Vance, during the signing of an agreement on precious metals <sup>[3]</sup> exchange that occurred in the presence of media representatives and thus became known to the global public <sup>[4]</sup> been met with significant surprise and concern in European public opinion.

Since President Trump assumed office, European countries, which have generally regarded his policies with skepticism, have seen their concerns about potentially being left alone by the US in defence matters intensify. As a result, Europe, so to speak, has begun to explore ways to fend for itself. In this context, two days after the notable discussion in the Oval Office, on March 2, 2025, British Prime Minister Keir Starmer convened a meeting<sup>[3]</sup> at Lancaster House to assess the emerging situation and discuss possible courses of action through a roundtable with 19 participants. The meeting was attended by representatives from only 11 EU member states, as well as the United Kingdom, Norway, Türkiye, Ukraine, Canada, the Presidents of the European Council and the European Commission, and the NATO Secretary General. Responding to questions about the meetings noteworthy composition, European Commission President Ursula von

der Leyen stated that, given the magnitude of the issue at hand, it was deemed necessary to adopt a structure reflective of a wider Europe.

Discussions on this matter continued, with the EU holding a summit meeting on March 6, 2025. The following day, European Commission President von der Leyen briefed representatives from the United Kingdom, Norway, Türkiye, and Canada [REDACTED] of the wider Europe [REDACTED] the previous days meeting. As a result of these meetings, it has been observed that the wider Europe countries are pursuing a dual approach: on one hand, maintaining a policy that does not sever ties with the US during this process, and on the other, moving toward organizing a Coalition of the Willing to coordinate defence measures across Europe.

The aforementioned report, titled The Future of European Defence, summarizes the steps taken and the progress achieved in collective defence in response to the new policy direction pursued by the US under President Trumps leadership. At the same time, it examines the recommendations and proposals put forward by the EP regarding security matters. In the subsequent sections of the report, the financing aspects of the measures considered to address the evolving changes in Europes security architecture are addressed and elaborated upon in separate articles.

In summary, the report seeks to address the concerns and uncertainties in the realm of security stemming from the policies pursued by President Trump since assuming office, while also providing recommendations on the measures necessary to ensure Europes security.

Since the end of the WWII, for approximately eighty years, Türkiye has played a significant role both within the United Nations system and as a member of NATO since 1952, contributing substantially to the Western alliance. This role has once again come to the forefront in a pronounced manner in light of the Ukraine-Russia War and the subsequent shift in approach adopted by President Trump. Indeed, Türkiyes inclusion in both the wider Europe framework championed by European Commission President Von der Leyen and the Coalition of the Willing led by the UK has not been surprising.

In such circumstances, it is an unavoidable requirement for responsible institutions, such as the EP, to exercise caution and diligence when preparing reports on critical issues like The Future of European Defence. In drafting such documents, the significance of every word must be carefully considered, and efforts should be made to avoid creating sensitivities. Against this backdrop, the inclusion of unnecessary, unjust, and careless expressions regarding the Cyprus issue, as observed in Articles 8 and 40 of the EPs latest report, not only undermines the integrity of the report but also carries a surprising quality that would perplex both civilian and military experts on European security matters. These paragraphs, which appear to have been incorporated into the text under pressure from two EU member states involved in the Cyprus issue, will undoubtedly not affect Türkiyes resolve and commitment to contributing to European security. However, it is also a fact that this represents an injustice perpetrated against Türkiye by an important institution like the EP. At a time when security concerns are at their peak, as new policies and strategies are being formulated [REDACTED] briefly summarized above [REDACTED] is expected that EU

institutions will act with the necessary sensitivity and care in decisions and matters concerning Europe. The responsibility of sacrificing Europe's unity and solidarity, particularly during this sensitive period, to secure the consensus of one or two EU member states should not be overlooked, and it is deemed highly beneficial to always keep this in mind.

\*Image: <https://www.aa.com.tr/tr/dunya/guvenoyu-yoklamasi-oncesinde-ab-mahkemesinden-von-der-leyen-aleyhinde-karar/3277566>.

[1] White Paper on the Future of European Defence - European Parliament resolution of 12 March 2025 on the white paper on the future of European defence (2025/2565(RSP)), *European Parliament*, 12 March 2025, [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-10-2025-0034\\_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-10-2025-0034_EN.pdf).

[2] What They Said: Trump, Zelenskyy and Vance's heated argument in the Oval Office, *AP News*, 28 February 2025, <https://apnews.com/article/trump-zelenskyy-vance-transcript-oval-office-80685f5727628c64065da81525f8f0cf>.

[3] Avrupa, Ukrayna için barış planını kabul etti: ABD'nin de desteğine ihtiyaç var, *EuroNews*, 2 March 2025, <https://tr.euronews.com/my-europe/2025/03/02/avrupa-ukrayna-icin-baris-planini-kabul-etti-abdnin-de-destegine-ihitiyac-var>.

About the Author :

Hazel Çağan-Elbir is an analyst in AVİM. Çağan-Elbir continues her studies in Political Economy doctorate program at Atılım University.

To cite this article: ELBİR, Hazel ÇAĞAN. 2025. "THE FUTURE OF EUROPEAN DEFENCE: SEEKING A NEW PATH IN THE SHADOW OF TRUMP POLICIES." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Commentary No.2025 / 18. April 17. Accessed September 12, 2025. <https://www.avimbulten.org/en/Yorum/THE-FUTURE-OF-EUROPEAN-DEFENCE-SEEKING-A-NEW-PATH-IN-THE-SHADOW-OF-TRUMP-POLICIES>



Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 Çankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE

**Tel:** +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • **Fax:** +90 (312) 438 50 26



@avimorgtr



<https://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi>

**E-Mail:** [info@avim.org.tr](mailto:info@avim.org.tr)

<http://avim.org.tr>

---

© 2009-2025 Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) All Rights Reserved